Background information for Transport Network for the Gympie Regional Council Local Government Infrastructure Plan





1.0 Preliminary

This report provides the background information for the Transport Network, including roads, pathways and public transport, to support the development of the Gympie Regional Council Local Government Infrastructure Plan (LGIP).

The report outlines:

- 1. The definition of trunk infrastructure (Section 2);
- 2. The service catchments (Section 3);
- 3. The demand assumptions and conversions (Section 4);
- 4. The desired standards of service (Section 5);
- 5. Network planning and modelling (Section 6);
- 6. Rural Residential roads methodology (Section 7);
- 7. Network costings and valuation methodology (Section 8);
- 8. Schedules of work (Section 9);
- 9. Source and supporting documents (Section 10).

2.0 Definition of trunk infrastructure

The trunk roads are a sub-set of the total network, with only the major roads included in the definition of trunk infrastructure. Table 1 outlines the definition of trunk infrastructure for the transport network. The roads defined as trunk are shown on the LGIP maps (Plans for Trunk Infrastructure – Transport), but also included here in Appendix A for information. Additionally, not all elements of the road infrastructure are considered trunk; these elements have been listed in Table 1 below as part of the exclusions list. All other road types are considered non-trunk infrastructure.

Where there is any inconsistency between the definition of trunk infrastructure as per Table 1 – Definition of Trunk Infrastructure, and the definition of trunk infrastructure within the Gympie Regional Council Planning Scheme 2013 or Local Government Infrastructure Plan (formerly identified as the Priority Infrastructure Plan) and related mapping, the definitions in Table 1 - Definition of Trunk Infrastructure prevail.



Table 1 – Definition of Trunk Infrastructure

| Transport Infrastructure | Definition of Trunk infrastructure | Exclusions (non-trunk |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Network | | infrastructure) |
| Trunk Urban Roads | Urban Arterial Roads: pathways including pedestrian bridges, traffic lanes and parking lanes (sub-base, base, seal), kerb and channel, subsoil drains, stormwater pipes, pits and manholes, guardrails, walls within the road reserve, bridges, traffic signals, clearing, earthworks, road furniture, and intersections, excluding intersections directly with State-controlled Roads. Urban Sub-arterial Roads: pathways including pedestrian bridges, traffic lanes (sub-base, base, seal), guardrails, walls within the road reserve, bridges, traffic signals, clearing, earthworks, road furniture, and intersections, excluding intersections directly with State-controlled Roads. CBD Roads: pathways including pedestrian bridges, traffic lanes (sub-base, base, seal), kerb and channel, subsoil drains, stormwater pipes, pits and manholes, guardrails, walls, clearing, earthworks, road furniture, and intersections directly with State-controlled Roads. | Traffic calming devices; Traffic signals and intersection works onto State- controlled Roads; Medians; Traffic islands not part of intersection works; Street lighting; Walls outside of the road reserve; All other roads. Additional exclusions for Urban Sub-arterial Roads: parking lanes; kerb and channel; cross road drainage; stormwater pipes, pits and manholes. |
| Trunk Rural Residential Roads | Only those Rural Residential Roads identified on LGIP Plans for Trunk Infrastructure - Transport are defined as trunk; Trunk Rural Residential Roads: clearing, earthworks, pavement, seal, cross road drainage, road furniture, guardrails, bridges, intersections excluding those intersections directly onto State-controlled Roads. | Traffic calming devices; Traffic signals and intersection works onto State- controlled Roads; Medians; Traffic islands not part of intersection works; Street lighting; Walls outside of the road reserve; All other rural residential roads. |



| Transport | Definition of Trunk infrastructure | Exclusions |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Infrastructure Network | | (non-trunk infrastructure) |
| Pathways | Trunk pathways and pedestrian bridges for pedestrians or cyclists located: within the road reserve of all State-controlled declared roads within the urban 40, 50 and 60 km/hr speed zones; CBD Roads; Urban Arterial Roads; and Urban Sub-arterial Roads (one side only), as identified on LGIP Plans for Trunk Infrastructure - Pathways. Additional connecting pathways listed below and identified on LGIP Plans for Trunk Infrastructure - Pathways. Additional connecting pathways listed below and identified on LGIP Plans for Trunk Infrastructure - Pathways. Corella Road - Bayside Road; from Dugong Street to Queen Elizabeth Drive Corella Road - Hamilton Road – Old Maryborough Road; from Corella Court to Fairway Drive Rifle Range Road - Wises Road; from Gympie Connection Road to Tin Can Bay Road Crescent Road; from Red Hill Road to Tin Can Bay Road Rocklea Drive; from Sorensen Road to Power Road Waldock Road; from Waldock Road to McIntosh Creek Road Stanley Street; from Groundwater Road to Heilbronn Road Heilbronn Road, from Gympie Connection Road to Stuart Street Garrick Street; from Gympie Connection Road to Parsons Road Power Street; from Gympie Connection Road to Parsons Road Popes Road - Myall Street; from Louisa Street to Pine Street Fisher Lane - AJ Mitchell Park - One Mile Sports Fields; from Gympie State High School to One Mile State School Carlo Road; from Kurrawa Drive to Carlo Point boat ramp | All other pathways not listed in the inclusions. |



| Transport Infrastructure Network | Definition of Trunk infrastructure | Exclusions (non-trunk infrastructure) |
|--|---|--|
| Pathways (continued) | Endeavour Drive - Investigator Avenue; from Bayside Road to Queen Elizabeth Drive Mauretania Avenue; from Endeavour Drive to Nautilus Drive Bayside Road; from Queen Elizabeth Drive to Mullins Creek Park Tin Can Bay Foreshore; from Crab Creek to Bass Street via Norman Point. | |
| Public Transport | Bus terminals, bus stops, and shelters owned by Council and located on Trunk Roads. | All public transport infrastructure not listed in the inclusions or not owned by Council. |

3.0 Service catchments

There are five service catchments for the transport network:

- Gympie;
- Cooloola Coast;
- Goomeri;
- Imbil;
- Kilkivan;

Refer to Attachment A for the service catchment map.

4.0 Demand assumptions and conversions

The base year for the planning of the transport network is 2016. The base year for the costing of the network is 2015. The planning horizon aligns with the ABS Census years through to 2031 with an estimate for an ultimate development scenario. The demand for each service catchment is shown in Table 2.

Transport demand has been based on generally accepted trips per day rates as follows

- Detached dwelling 10 per dwelling;
- Attached dwelling 6 per dwelling;
- Rural Residential dwelling 6 per dwelling;
- Retail 50 per 100m2 GFA;
- Commercial 10 per 100m2 GFA;
- Industrial 5 per 100m2 GFA;
- Community 25 per 100m2 GFA;



• Office – 10 per 100m2 GFA.

| Service | Transport network demand (vehicle trips per day) | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------|---------|---------|--|
| catchment | 2016 | 2021 | 2026 | 2031 | |
| Gympie | 247,670 | 265,629 | 282,092 | 300,503 | |
| Cooloola Coast | 54,726 | 58,470 | 62,564 | 67,228 | |
| Goomeri | 10,573 | 11,034 | 11,441 | 12,038 | |
| Imbil | 6,592 | 6,728 | 6,852 | 7,006 | |
| Kilkivan | 7,848 | 9,225 | 10,613 | 12,665 | |
| Total | 327,408 | 351,086 | 373,561 | 399,440 | |

Table 2 — Existing and projected demand for the transport network

5.0 Desired standards of service

Table 3 outlines the desired standards of service for the Transport network.

Table 3— Desired Standards of Service for the transport network

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 |
|--|--|---|
| Measure | Planning criteria (qualitative standards) | Design criteria (quantitative standards) |
| Road network design/planning standards | The road network provides a safe, reliable and functional urban, rural residential and rural hierarchy that supports settlement patterns, commercial, tourist, agricultural and economic activities. Design of the road system will comply with established codes and standards. | *Gympie Regional Council Planning Scheme Policy Schedule SC6.1.7 *Austroads Design Guidelines 2009 *Department of Transport and Main Roads Road Planning and Design Manual *The desirable standards in Table 4, columns 1 to 7 |



| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 |
|------------------|---|---|
| Measure | Planning criteria (qualitative standards) | Design criteria (quantitative standards) |
| Footpaths and | The footpath and cycle network | *Gympie Regional Council Planning |
| cycleways | provides a safe, attractive and | Scheme Policy Schedule SC6.1.7 |
| | convenient network that links residential areas to desirable | *Gympie Region Walk Cycle Strategy |
| | activity nodes thereby | *The desirable standards in Table 4, |
| | encouraging walking and cycling as | columns 1 and 8 |
| | acceptable travel alternatives as well as for fitness and well-being. | |
| | well as for fittless and well-being. | |
| Public transport | New urban development is | *Gympie Regional Council Planning |
| design/planning | designed to achieve safe and | Scheme Policy Schedule SC6.1.7.4 |
| standards | convenient distances to existing and future bus stops. | *Austroads Design Guidelines 2009 |
| | Improve inter-city bus access and | *Department of Transport and Main |
| | functionality. | Roads Road Planning and Design |
| | | Manual |
| | Increase use of public transport as | |
| | an attractive alternative to | |
| | personal vehicle use. | |



Table 4Desirable standards

| Column 1 Road Type | Column 2 Typical Volume (vpd) | Column 3 Typical Posted Speed (km/h) | Column 4 Carriage- way Width (m) | Column 5 Minimum Reserve Width (m) | Column 6 Minimum Level of Service^ | Column 7 Maximum Rough- ness (IRI) | Column 8 Footpath Width* (m) |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Urban Arterial | 6,000 | 60 | 12 | 22 | B-C | 2 | 1.5 including DTMR roads |
| Urban Sub- arterial | 3,500 | 50 | 10 | 22 | В | 4 | 1.2 |
| CBD Roads | n/a | 40 | 12 | 22 | С | 2 | Full width |
| Rural Residential | 500 | 80 | 8 | 22# | В | 4 | 0 |

Table notes:

Subject to cut/fill batter points clear of property boundary by 3.0 m on curves, cul de sac heads and 4.0 m elsewhere.

^Defined in Guide to Traffic Management Part 3 – Austroads 2009

* Connecting pathways 2.4 m wide wherever possible within existing reservation, otherwise as shown.

6.0 Network planning and modelling

The Gympie Regional Council area is characterised by low population growth, above the national average unemployment level, and a low socio-economic standard. This is reflected in the council's capital works programs which have historically been restricted to affordable works only with heavy reliance upon developers having to fund all necessary infrastructure required by the legislated and adopted standards as well as those expected in today's modern living standards.

The city of Gympie was founded in 1867 when alluvial gold was discovered along James Nash Gully which then became the main street in the Central Business District, Mary Street. The gold rush that followed resulted in a network of winding streets and roads and odd shaped parcels of land



throughout the steep and hilly terrain with little thought initially given to a planned future township. Although more outlying areas were better planned and laid out, the roads, pathways and stormwater infrastructure has become an engineering challenge to define a clear hierarchy within each network.

Up until 1993 the area was administered by two local governments with each competing to attract growth and giving limited regard for the city as a whole. Since then a much better approach has been transitioning towards orderly growth and development for the area as a whole. Hence this LGIP is seen as finally bringing together different cultures in a difficult natural environment within a community that has a very limited capital.

ROADS

The Gympie road network is one that has grown over time by drivers choosing shortest distances and quickest travel times for their journeys. This also applies to the principal roads in Gympie which are controlled by DTMR. Being a relatively small country city, traffic volumes in Gympie are well below those used for defining arterial roads in larger cities. Therefore, trunk roads have been identified as only those roads in urban areas where volumes exceed 6000 vpd (Urban Arterial Roads), 3500 vpd (Urban Sub-arterial Roads) and those roads in the Gympie CBD.

Gympie is also unique in that its residents have over time developed a love of rural residential living. There is such a high continuing demand for this lifestyle in Gympie that despite State Government planning guidelines for population concentration, the 2013 Town Plan still allowed further development of rural residential living in certain areas whilst at the same time making restrictions in other such areas. Lead-in roads to these areas for additional rural residential growth have therefore been identified also as trunk roads. (See Section 7 for more information.)

It is recognised that on primarily traffic carrying trunk roads, through traffic only utilises the central traffic lanes but impacts on all intersections. Other trunk roads such as those in the CBD and those in the urban arterial category all have a parking component as part of their traffic carrying capacity. Therefore, only works relating to these impacts from increased traffic have been identified as trunk works.

FOOTPATHS and CYCLEWAYS

Since 1993 there has been a great increase in the length of footpaths constructed per year. Respective councils have continued this upwards trend to encourage less motor vehicle use for short trips and increased physical activity. In this time two planning studies into cycle and walking strategies have been prepared to forward plan the continued construction of the pathway network to cater for increased demands from the expanding urban population.

The trunk pathway network has been restricted to the urban trunk roads plus important interconnecting pathways to encourage shorter and/or more interesting routes for pedestrians and cyclists as well as those driven by demand from activity-based focal points.



PUBLIC TRANSPORT

Gympie is also unique in that it has only ever had a privately run public transport system although Council owns and manages the bus stops and shelters in consultation with the Department of Transport and Main Roads. The further advent of privately owned school bus runs being able to charge students for trips beyond the designated school bus route has only exacerbated this matter for locally based users. This has resulted in an unco-ordinated approach to public transport with the local community. Upgrading of existing bus shelters as demand increases will be ongoing.

Additionally, there has been an increasing demand for inter-city bus travel with less use of rail passenger services. Council believes an inner-city bus terminal will encourage more use of public transport from within and beyond the city and lessen the need for vehicle use from the expanding urban population.

7.0 Rural residential roads methodology

The former PSP which dealt with the collection of infrastructure charges for rural residential roads outlined a methodology of spending contributions to upgrade roads in an efficient and logical sequence.

In this report, this methodology is continued, focussing resources on rural residential areas where growth is possible under the provisions of the planning scheme and likely over the horizon of the PIA. This means that only lead-in rural residential roads have been defined as trunk in these areas, with a small number of roads defined as trunk in these areas:

- McIntosh Creek Road; Lawson Road Premier Avenue;
- Sandy Creek Road; Gympie Connection Road North Deep Creek Road;
- Fritz Road; Bruce Highway Rammutt Road;
- Rammutt Road; Bruce Highway Old Maryborough Road;
- Nash Road; Old Maryborough Road Quinlan Road;
- Eel Creek Road; Watson Road Ayrshire Court.

This limited number of rural residential roads are defined as trunk and shown on the LGIP Plans for Trunk Infrastructure – Transport, but also included here in Appendix B for completeness. However, if there is an inconsistency between Appendix B and the LGIP, the LGIP prevails.

8.0 Network costings and valuation methodology

The valuation of the existing road and pathway network was derived from Council's asset management system, based on a GIS layer of items which meet the definition of trunk infrastructure. The asset system provided primarily the replacement value of the pavement formation, sub-base, base and surface. Additional amounts have been included in the replacement values of existing road assets to allow for the items which have not been extracted directly from council's asset database.



For CBD Roads, the amount added is \$1,415 per lineal metre of road. This comprises \$200/Im for kerb and channel and subsoil drainage, \$150/Im for stormwater pipes and pits, \$800/Im for full verge width paved pathways, \$100/Im for retaining walls, \$150/Im for intersections and \$15/Im for linemarking, signs and other roadside furniture.

For other urban roads, the amount added is \$565 per lineal metre of road. This comprises \$200/Im for kerb and channel and subsoil drainage, \$150/Im for stormwater pipes and pits, \$100/Im for retaining walls, \$100/Im for intersections and \$15/Im for linemarking, signs and other roadside furniture.

For rural residential roads, the amount added is \$110 per lineal metre of road. This comprises \$25m for subsoil drainage in cuttings, \$25/lm for stormwater pipes, \$50/lm for intersections and \$10/lm for linemarking, signs and other roadside furniture.

The future transport infrastructure projects have been costed by using Council's audited unit rates.

9.0 Schedules of work

The following tables are the schedules of future work as a result of network planning. The establishment cost is the baseline cost plus an allowance for contingency, planning, design and other on-costs, as calculated by the Schedule of Works model in accordance with the statutory guideline.

| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline cost | Column 5 Establishment cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| T01 | Hall Road - Upgrade | 2016 | 110,565 | 142,629 |
| T02 | Louisa Street - Upgrade | 2016 | 205,000 | 264,450 |
| Т03 | Old Maryborough Road - Upgrade | 2021 | 77,490 | 99,962 |
| T04 | Stewart Terrace - Upgrade | 2017 | 26,460 | 34,133 |
| T05 | Tozer Street - Upgrade | 2017 | 118,125 | 152,381 |
| T06 | Nautilus Drive - Upgrade | 2024 | 238,896 | 329,676 |
| T07 | Bayside Road - Upgrade | 2025 | 156,870 | 216,481 |
| Т08 | Queen Elizabeth Drive - Upgrade | 2023 | 113,400 | 156,492 |
| Т09 | Excelsior Road - Widening | 2026 | 122,235 | 168,684 |
| T10 | Clarkson Drive - Upgrade | 2027 | 28,800 | 41,472 |
| T11 | Ramsey Road - Upgrade | 2022 | 106,260 | 146,639 |
| T12 | Sorensen Road - Upgrade | 2019 | 224,280 | 289,321 |
| T13 | Oak Street - Upgrade | 2016 | 519,250 | 669,833 |
| T14 | Reef Street - Upgrade | 2022 | 195,580 | 269,900 |
| T15 | Rammutt Road - Widening | 2019 | 1,154,938 | 1,489,870 |
| T16 | Eel Creek Road - Widening | 2021 | 256,725 | 331,175 |
| T17 | Eel Creek Road - Widening | 2022 | 604,475 | 834,176 |
| T18 | Fritz Road - Widening | 2023 | 55,050 | 75,969 |

| Table 5—Road transport network sche | dule of works |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| | |



| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline cost | Column 5 Establishment cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| T19 | Fritz Road - Widening | 2023 | 835,000 | 1,152,300 |
| T20 | Nash Road - Widening | 2021 | 43,225 | 55,760 |
| T21 | Nash Road - Widening | 2024 | 333,080 | 459,650 |
| T22 | McIntosh Creek Road - Widening | 2021 | 778,100 | 1,003,749 |
| T23 | Young Street - Widening | 2020 | 231,000 | 297,990 |
| T24 | Young Street - Widening | 2020 | 25,000 | 32,250 |
| T25 | Hall Road - Langton Road - Intersection upgrade | 2016 | 300,000 | 387,000 |
| T26 | Bayside Road - Endeavour Drive - Intersection upgrade | 2025 | 150,000 | 207,000 |
| T27 | Investigator Avenue - Nautilus Drive - Intersection upgrade | 2027 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T28 | Bayside Road - Queen Elizabeth Dr - Intersection upgrade | 2018 | 150,000 | 193,500 |
| T29 | Mellor Street - Power Street - Intersection upgrade | 2028 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| Т30 | Mellor Street - Fern Street - Intersection upgrade | 2029 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T31 | Duke Street - Alfred Street - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| Т32 | Duke Street - Jane Street - Intersection upgrade | 2031 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| Т33 | Watson Road - Sorensen Road - Intersection upgrade | 2025 | 150,000 | 207,000 |
| T34 | Monkland Street - O'Connell Street - Intersection upgrade | 2027 | 500,000 | 720,000 |
| T35 | Station Road - Tozer Street - Intersection upgrade | 2028 | 260,000 | 374,400 |
| Т36 | Station Road - Cogan Street - Intersection upgrade | 2020 | 300,000 | 387,000 |
| T37 | Louisa Street - Popes Road - Intersection upgrade | 2019 | 350,000 | 451,500 |
| T38 | Excelsior Road - Perseverance St - Intersection upgrade | 2022 | 225,000 | 310,500 |
| Т39 | Monkland Street - Myall Street - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 300,000 | 432,000 |
| T40 | Mellor St - Chapple St - Lady Mary Tce - Intersection upgrade | 2029 | 500,000 | 720,000 |
| T41 | Exhibition Road - Watson Road - Intersection upgrade | 2028 | 250,000 | 360,000 |
| T42 | Groundwater Road - Sorensen | 2030 | 250,000 | 360,000 |



| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline cost | Column 5 Establishment cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | Rd - Intersection upgrade | | | |
| T43 | Parsons Road - various sites - Intersection upgrade & associated roadworks | 2030 | 75,000 | 108,000 |
| T44 | Young Street - Reef Street - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 75,000 | 108,000 |
| T45 | Red Hill Road - Crescent Road - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 50,000 | 72,000 |
| T46 | Power Road - Woolgar Road - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 50,000 | 72,000 |
| T47 | River Road - Watt Street - Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 100,000 | 144,000 |
| T48 | Graham Street - Bridge upgrade | 2026 | 800,000 | 1,104,000 |
| T49 | Stewart Terrace -Tozer Street - Bridge upgrade | 2031 | 1,200,000 | 1,728,000 |
| T50 | Duke Street – Widening | 2026 | 48,000 | 66,240 |
| T51 | Station Road – Widening | 2020 | 108,000 | 139,320 |
| T52 | Monkland Street – Spicer Street – Intersection upgrade | 2030 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T53 | Power Road – Widening | 2027 | 175,750 | 253,080 |
| T54 | Exhibition Road - Widenings | 2026 | 551,000 | 760,380 |
| T55 | Eel Creek Road-Rocks Road Intersection upgrade | 2027 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T56 | Investigator Avenue- Queen Elizabeth Drive Intersection upgrade | 2028 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T57 | Fern-Lawrence-Myall Streets Intersection upgrade | 2029 | 300,000 | 432,000 |
| T58 | Apollonian Vale-Lady Mary Terrace-Bligh Street- Caledonian Hill Intersection Upgrade | 2028 | 300,000 | 432,000 |
| Т59 | Karoonda Road-Carlo Road Intersection upgrade | 2024 | 300,000 | 414,000 |
| T60 | Groundwater Road-Waldock Road Intersection upgrade | 2029 | 150,000 | 216,000 |
| T61 | Investigator Avenue - Widening | 2018 | 1,500,000 | 1,935,000 |
| T62 | Groundwater Rd (Power Rd to Sorensen Rd) – pavement widening for safety | 2016 | 280,000 | 361,200 |
| Т63 | Groundwater Rd (Perry L to Cox Rd) – pavement widening for safety | 2020 | 520,000 | 670,800 |
| T64 | Tyrell Rd – pavement upgrade | 2017 | 200,000 | 258,000 |



| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline cost | Column 5 Establishment cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | for safety | | | |
| T65 | Pritchard Rd / Smerdon Rd intersection | 2021 | 338,000 | 436,020 |
| TOTAL | | | | 25,262,882 |

Table 6 — Public transport network schedule of works

| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline Cost (\$) | Column 5 Establishment Cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Т66 | Land Purchase for Gympie Transit Centre – Stage 1 | 2016 | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| T67 | Land Purchase for Gympie Transit Centre Stage 2 | 2018 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| T68 | Gympie Transit Centre | 2024 | 3,000,000 | 4,140,000 |
| Т69 | Upgrade Bus Stop at cinema complex | 2017 | 40,000 | 51,600 |
| TOTAL | | | \$4,040,000 | \$5,191,600 |

Table 7 — Pathway network schedule of works

| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline Cost (\$) | Column 5 Establishment Cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Gympie Connection Road | | | |
| T70 | Pathway | 2021 | 39,600. | 51,084 |
| T71 | Rifle Range Road Pathway | 2021 | 38,700 | 49,923 |
| T72 | Watson Road Pathway | 2016 | 181,000 | 233,490 |
| T73 | Sorensen Road Pathway | 2016 | 105,400 | 135,966 |
| T74 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2022 | 86,400 | 119,232 |
| T75 | Station Road Pathway | 2021 | 89,400 | 115,326 |
| | Gympie Connection Road | | | |
| T76 | Pathway | 2023 | 264,800 | 365,424 |
| | Gympie Connection Road | | | |
| T77 | Pathway | 2024 | 82,800 | 114,264 |
| T78 | Old Maryborough Road Pathway | 2016 | 32,400 | 41,796 |
| T79 | Bruce Highway Pathway | 2022 | 39,600 | 54,648 |
| T80 | Bruce Highway Pathway | 2022 | 43,200 | 59,616 |
| T81 | Corella Circuit Pathway | 2025 | 240,000 | 331,200 |



| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline Cost (\$) | Column 5 Establishment Cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| T82 | Corella Circuit Pathway | 2025 | 164,000 | 226,320 |
| T83 | Nash Street Pathway | 2022 | 20,800 | 28,704 |
| | Gympie Connection Road | | -, | |
| T84 | Pathway | 2026 | 144,000 | 198,720 |
| T85 | Oak Street Pathway | 2026 | 54,000 | 74,520 |
| T86 | Crescent Road Pathway | 2027 | 121,700 | 175,248 |
| T87 | Exhibition Road | 2028 | 43,200 | 62,208 |
| T88 | Exhibition Road | 2024 | 66,600 | 91,908 |
| Т89 | Glastonbury Road | 2024 | 66,600 | 91,908 |
| Т90 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2028 | 61,200 | 88,128 |
| T91 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2022 | 160,200 | 221,076 |
| Т92 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2020 | 312,000 | 402,480 |
| Т93 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2016 | 96,000 | 123,840 |
| T94 | Groundwater Road Pathway | 2029 | 147,600 | 212,544 |
| T95 | Heilbronn Road Pathway | 2018 | 63,000 | 81,270 |
| T96 | Waldock Road Pathway | 2018 | 187,500 | 241,875 |
| T97 | Sorensen Road Pathway | 2018 | 144,400 | 186,276 |
| T98 | Rocklea Drive Pathway | 2030 | 183,600 | 264,384 |
| T99 | Hughes Terrace Pathway | 2028 | 64,800 | 93,312 |
| T100 | Mary Valley Road Pathway | 2028 | 7,098,000 | 10,221,120 |
| T101 | Mary Valley Road Pathway | 2024 | 70,400 | 97,152 |
| T102 | Mary Valley Road Pathway | 2024 | 31,500 | 43,470 |
| T103 | Power Road Pathway | 2023 | 128,000 | 176,640 |
| T104 | Power Road Pathway | 2021 | 172,000 | 221,880 |
| T105 | Power Road Pathway | 2019 | 560,000 | 722,400 |
| T106 | Rifle Range Road Pathway | 2029 | 153,000 | 220,320 |
| T107 | Wises Road Pathway | 2030 | 144,000 | 207,360 |
| | Bligh Street - Church Street | | | |
| T108 | Pathway | 2020 | 100,000 | 129,000 |
| T109 | Tin Can Bay Road Pathway | 2031 | 52,200 | 75,168 |
| T110 | Tin Can Bay Road Pathway | 2018 | 90,000 | 116,100 |
| | Popes Road - Myall Street | | | |
| T111 | Pathway | 2027 | 162,000 | 233,280 |
| T112 | Stanley Street Pathway | 2031 | 90,000 | 129,600 |
| T113 | Garrick Street Pathway | 2031 | 114,000 | 164,160 |
| T114 | Power Street Pathway | 2031 | 77,400 | 111,456 |
| T115 | Musgrave Street Pathway | 2031 | 97,800 | 140,832 |
| T116 | GSHS - One Mile SS Pathway | 2025 | 670,000 | 924,600 |



| Column 1 Map reference | Column 2 Trunk infrastructure | Column 3 Estimated timing | Column 4 Baseline Cost (\$) | Column 5 Establishment Cost (\$) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| T117 | Investigator Avenue Pathway | 2020 | 551,250 | 711,112 |
| T118 | Investigator Avenue Pathway | 2019 | 186,750 | 240,907 |
| T119 | Endeavour Drive Pathway | 2026 | 232,875 | 321,367 |
| T120 | Endeavour Drive Pathway | 2027 | 166,500 | 239,760 |
| T121 | Mauretania Avenue Pathway | 2031 | 249,750 | 359,640 |
| T122 | Bayside Road Pathway | 2030 | 344,250 | 495,720 |
| T123 | Rainbow Beach Road Pathway | 2016 | 42,750 | 55,147 |
| T124 | Carlo Road Pathway | 2029 | 1,096,000 | 1578,240 |
| T125 | Tin Can Bay Road Pathway | 2026 | 189,000 | 260,820 |
| T126 | Tin Can Bay Road Pathway | 2024 | 232,000 | 320,160 |
| T127 | Tin Can Bay Foreshore Pathway | 2016 | 33,750 | 43,537 |
| T128 | Tin Can Bay Foreshore Pathway | 2021 | 168,750 | 217,687 |
| T129 | Tin Can Bay Foreshore Pathway | 2022 | 58,500 | 80,730 |
| T130 | Burnett Highway Pathway | 2019 | 60,000 | 77,400 |
| T131 | Burnett Highway Pathway | 2020 | 52,200 | 67,338 |
| TOTAL | | | \$16,819,125 | \$23,540,796 |

10.0 Source and supporting documents

The following documents have been used to form the basis and background of this information material:

- GRC Capital Works Program (Gympie Region Road Infrastructure Upgrades.xls)
- RTA Guide to Traffic Generation Developments
- GRC Planning Scheme Policy SC6
- Gympie Region Walk & Cycle Strategy Feb 2012.



Appendix A – Trunk Road Network maps